

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ya.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/820,108 | 04/08/2004 | Mihrimah Ozkan | 034044.030 (2003-368-2) | 8315 |
| 7590 01/05/2005 | | | EXAMINER | |
| Suzannah K. Sundby, Esq. | | | YU, MELANIE J | |
| Smith, Gambrell & Russell, LLP 1850 M. Street N.W. # 800 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Washington, DC 20036 | | | 1641 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 01/05/2005 | 5 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/820,108 | OZKAN ET AL. | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Melanie Yu | 1641 | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence address | | | |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Faild Any | MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. maintenance in the mailing date of this communication. sIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. 8 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 O | ctober 2004. | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> ☐ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This | action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3)[| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | • | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 5)[| | | | | | |
| 6)□ | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 8)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> are subject to restriction and/or e | election requirement. | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | |
| | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 11)[| The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | • | | | | |
| 12)[| Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) |)-(d) or (f). | | | |
| | a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received in Applicati | on No | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | ity documents have been receive | ed in this National Stage | | | |
| | application from the International Bureau | | | | | |
| * 5 | See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies not receive | ed. | | | |
| | | • | | | | |
| Attachmen | | "□ | | | | |
| | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Ll Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | | | |
| 3) 🔲 Infon | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P | ratent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other: | | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-14 and 25 are drawn to a single reactant component, classified in class436, subclass 518.
- II. Claims 15-21 and 25 are drawn to a device comprising a single reactant component, classified in class 422, subclass 82.01.
- III. Claims 22-24 are drawn to a method of making a single reactant component immobilized on an electrode, classified in class 435, subclass 285.2.
- IV. Claims 26-28 are drawn to a method of assaying, analyzing or monitoring a target analyte, classified in class 435, subclass 4.
- V. Claim 29 is drawn to a method of identifying an unknown analyte, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
- VI. Claim 30 is drawn to a signature pattern vector database, classified in class 436, subclass 501.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

1. Inventions of each of groups I, II, and VI are patentably distinct. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different effects. The product of group I requires a single reactant component immobilized over a single electrode, which is not required of the products of groups II and VI. The product of group II requires a device comprising a single

Art Unit: 1641

reactant component, which is not required of the products of groups I and VI. The product of group VI requires a plurality of signature pattern vectors, which is not required of the products of groups I and II.

- 2. Inventions of a) each of groups I, II, and VI and b) group III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product of group I can be made by a materially different process such as, coating the electrode with ligands specific for the single reactant component for immobilization over an electrode.
- 3. Inventions of a) each of groups I, II, and VI and b) each of groups IV and V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can be used in either of the materially different processes of groups IV or V.
- 4. Inventions of each of groups III-V are patentably distinct. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions. The method of group III requires using an alternating current field, which is not required of the methods of groups IV or V. The method of group IV requires detecting a change, which is not required of the methods of

Application/Control Number: 10/820,108

Art Unit: 1641

groups I or V. The method of group V requires determining a signature pattern vector, which is not required of the methods of groups I or IV.

- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of M.P.E.P. §821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re*

Page 5

Art Unit: 1641

Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See M.P.E.P. § 804.01.

7. A telephone call was made to Ms. Suzannah Sundby on December 21, 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melanie Yu whose telephone number is (571) 272-2933. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5.

Application/Control Number: 10/820,108

Art Unit: 1641

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Long Le can be reached on (571) 272-0823. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Melanie Yu

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1641

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

01/04/05

Page 6